### 1NC T no QPQ

#### 1. Interp Economic engagement is distinct from quid pro quo carrots.

Çelik, Masters in Political Economy 11 (Arda Can, Economic Sanctions and Engagement Policies: A review study on coercive and non-coercive diplomatic actions, p. 12)

Literature of liberal school points out that economic engagement policies are significantly effective tools for sender and target countries. The effectiveness leans on mutual economic and political benefits for both parties (Garzke et all, 2001). Economic engagement operates with trade mechanisms where sender and target country establish intensified trade thus increase the economic interaction over time. This strategy decreases the potential hostilities and provides mutual gains. Paulson Jr (2008) states that this mechanism is highly different from carrots (inducements). Carrots work quid pro quo in short terms and for narrow goals. Economic engagement intends to develop the target country and wants her to be aware of the long term benefits of shared economic goals. Sender does not want to contain nor prevent the target country with different policies. Conversely, sender works deliberately to improve the target countries' GDP, trade potential, export-import ratios and national income. Sender acts in purpose to reach important goals. First it establishes strong economic ties become economic integration has the capacity to change the political choices and behaviour of target country. Sender state believes in that economic linkages have political transformation potential (Kroll, 1993).

#### 2. Violation- aff is conditioned upon [XXXXXX]

#### 3.standards

#### A. Limits- there are an infinite number of conditions that could be placed on the target country and just as many random advantages based on those conditions that are impossible for the neg to research.

#### B. Ground- first-they split lit between conditions and actual engagement. Also conditional engagement forces us into generic DA’s and case args.

#### Voter for fairness and education

#### Evaluate T through competing interps- most objective and avoids judge intervention.